
From: Rueda, Helen
To: King, Amy
CC: Hiser, Elizabeth; Henning, Alan
Sent: 7/8/2014 11:43:09 AM
Subject: RE: OR CZARA: Revised Pesticide and New Agriculture Review

Hi Amy

Thank you for being so thorough. The TMDL does not even attribute that quote to another document or have a reference that matches the description of the Tillamook NEP document. I guess the only way to find that document would be to contact NWEA. It doesn't seem necessary, the wording of the TMDL should be sufficient evidence.

From: King, Amy [mailto:amy.king@tetrattech.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 08, 2014 6:19 AM
To: Rueda, Helen
Cc: Hiser, Elizabeth
Subject: RE: OR CZARA: Revised Pesticide and New Agriculture Review

Hi Helen,

I just checked this a little more. NWEA did cite the TMDL on page 40 (used a direct quote). That particular quote from the TMDL is a direct quote of Tillamook NEP, 1997 (text box on page 15 of the TMDL). So on page 40 of the NWEA letter, they correctly cited the TMDL. We just can't find a copy of the Tillamook NEP 1997 to verify the accuracy of the quote in the TMDL (the link you sent does not match). It's a pretty big text box in the TMDL so one would hope that was accurate!

I just did another google search and didn't find anything. Is this something that you think we should pursue or drop it since NWEA did accurately quote the TMDL?

Thanks, Amy

From: Rueda, Helen [mailto:Rueda.Helen@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 07, 2014 5:06 PM
To: King, Amy; Carlin, Jayne; Henning, Alan; Wu, Jennifer; Solloway, Chris; Waye, Don; allison.castellan@noaa.gov
Cc: Hiser, Elizabeth; Kress, Erin; Ferrando, Jennifer
Subject: RE: OR CZARA: Revised Pesticide and New Agriculture Review

Hi Amy

For the Tillamook NEP 1997 check out this link, somehow NWEA seems to have missed including this reference with their volumes of stuff. It only refers to bacterial pollution so it is linked to the wrong comment. I would put it with 57-FFF.

http://www.earthdesign.com/tillamook_bay/wq/tbaywq.htm

The reference that they meant to be citing on page 40 was the Tillamook TMDL (2001), the quote is from that document.

From: King, Amy [mailto:amy.king@tetrattech.com]
Sent: Monday, July 07, 2014 2:59 PM
To: Carlin, Jayne; Henning, Alan; Wu, Jennifer; Solloway, Chris; Waye, Don; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Rueda, Helen
Cc: Hiser, Elizabeth; Kress, Erin; Ferrando, Jennifer
Subject: OR CZARA: Revised Pesticide and New Agriculture Review

Hi all,

Attached please find a copy of the tracking file, which is updated for pesticides and includes the work to date for the agricultural comments. We are still updating entries for agricultural comment letters 71 and 57.

We have a few notes/questions on the agricultural comments:

- We are uncertain about rows 100 and 101. There were no associated comment summaries. If we receive clarification, we can complete these (they are highlighted in yellow).
- Row 157-162 – these comments had duplicate numbering for letter 57.

For the agriculture ones we did complete, we could not locate a handful of references. These include:

- Row 92: Oregon Department of Agriculture. ?. Scientific Basis for an Outcome-based Water Quality Management Program.
- Row 105: EPA/NOAA's response to Oregon's Submittal of Additional Information on the State's Measures for Agricultural Sources in response to Federal Findings of January 1998, December 31, 2002, comments 4-5. If the Oregon CNPCP plans to rely on voluntary programs to implement the program, a back-up water quality authority is necessary.
- Row 128: letter on file with author
- Row 170: OAR 141.085.0010(27). Oregon Administrative Rules. Chapter 141. Division 85.
- Row 176: Tillamook Bay National Estuary Project, 1997

Please note that I am out of town and will be out of contact starting on Thursday of this week (and limited contact for the rest of this week). Please be sure to copy Elizabeth, Erin, and Jennifer on any messages between now and July 18 to make sure we can keep things moving forward.

It would also be very helpful if someone could send an ETA on the riparian references. We will continue finishing up the pending agricultural ones, but riparian is up next!

Thanks, Amy

From: King, Amy

Sent: Monday, June 30, 2014 4:30 PM

To: 'Carlin, Jayne'; 'Henning, Alan'; 'Wu, Jennifer'; 'Solloway, Chris'; 'Waye, Don'; 'allison.castellan@noaa.gov'; 'Rueda, Helen'

Cc: Hiser, Elizabeth; Kress, Erin

Subject: OR CZARA: Revised Landslide and Draft Pesticide Review

Hello all,

Following up on our work from last week, attached is a tracking file that contains the continued landslides review as well as the preliminary pesticides review. Some notes:

- For the landslides, we are at a point where we need to communicate with Chris and/or others before making additional progress.
- For the pesticides, we have a handful of references remaining to review, but will finish this up Tuesday and send an updated copy to Jenny (Jenny, we made it through all of the references from last week and some of them from this morning).

Look forward to connecting more soon.

Thanks, Amy

From: King, Amy

Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 2:04 PM

To: 'Carlin, Jayne'; Henning, Alan; Wu, Jennifer; Solloway, Chris; Waye, Don; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Rueda, Helen

Cc: Hiser, Elizabeth

Subject: OR CZARA: Draft Landslide Review

Hi all,

Attached please find a copy of the reference review for the landslide comments completed to date (draft for Task 5). We have some more references to obtain and/or review for accuracy, but quite a few are addressed. And below is some information regarding color coding/notes/questions.

Hopefully this provides some useful information for the landslides call tomorrow (we will continue to move forward, but

wanted to share the current version to keep things moving forward). Also, please note, if you all still have comments on the format of the tracking tables, we can definitely update. This is a good time to make changes.

Thinking forward...

Jenny, do you have a timeline for providing a list of references for the pesticides comments? Even a preliminary list can get us started on those.

Thanks, Amy

Key/Coding:

- Chris provided some summary comment tables that had red/blue font. When these were inserted to the spreadsheet, the font color was maintained consistent with the file from Chris.
- When new comments were added (not in "Coded" spreadsheet or info from Chris), included comment # and "-?" in comment code.
- Where multiple references for the same comment, these are included on separate rows and comment is repeated, but shaded in light grey.
- Where we have not yet obtained a full reference to review or there is a question on the accuracy of the citation provided, the citation is shaded in yellow.

Notes:

- Letters 57 and 77 have been included for landslide comments only...other references in those letters were not added to the "working tab".
- Same as above for the response letter from the State.

Questions:

- If a comment includes a reference and that specific reference includes a citation, should we also obtain that citation and verify the accuracy? This occurred in the Oregon Wild letter (#58) as well as a declaration letter in the NWEA letter (#57).
- In regards to the last bullet in "key/coding" above, how much should we pursue references if the provided citations do not appear accurate? This will likely require some discussion, but we wanted to bring it up.

Amy King | Water Resources Scientist

Main: 303.217.5700 | Direct: 720.881.5874 | Fax 303.217.5705

amy.king@tetrattech.com

Tetra Tech | Complex World, Clear Solutions

350 Indiana Street, Suite 500 | Golden, Colorado 80401 | www.ttwater.com

PLEASE NOTE: This message, including any attachments, may include privileged, confidential and/or inside information. Any distribution or use of this communication by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system.